



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/691,897	10/22/2003	Donald J. Stern	P214426	6594
30662	7590 01/26/2005		EXAMINER	
SCHACHT LAW OFFICE, INC.			GANEY, STEVEN J	
SUITE 202 2801 MERIDIAN STREET			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BELLINGHAM, WA 98225-2412			3752	

DATE MAILED: 01/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/691,897	STERN ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Steven J. Ganey	3752			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status			•			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 No</u>	ovember 2004.				
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	action is non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims		•			
5) <u></u> 6)⊠	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4,6 and 8-18 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-4, 6 and 8-18 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
Applicati	ion Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachmen	• •	_				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)				
3) 🔲 Inforr	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449 or PT0/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/691,897

Art Unit: 3752

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed on November 1, 2004, which has been fully considered in this action.

## Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 1, numeral "5" should be changed to numeral --1-- to provide proper claim dependency, since claim 5 has been canceled. Appropriate correction is required.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, line 12, the recitation of "engages the valve stem such that fluid may fluid" is indefinite and since it is not clear how the seal "engages the valve stem such that fluid flow out of the container assembly is substantially prevented" and also "engages the valve stem such that fluid may flow through the stem passageway". It appears that this should be recited as where the seal .....- disengages from the valve stem such that fluid may flow through the stem passageway--.

Art Unit: 3752

## **Double Patenting**

5. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

- 6. Claims 1-4, 6 and 8-18 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,641,005 in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,450,983.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,641,005 claims a system for texturing an interior surface comprising all the featured elements of the claimed invention except for the valve seal with its relation to the valve stem. U.S. Patent No. 5,450,983 discloses and claims a system for texturing an interior surface comprising all the featured elements of the instant invention, note specifically valve stem 56 and valve seal 54. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a valve seal in the claimed invention of U.S. Patent No. 6,641,005 since with such a modification the valve seal would support the valve stem such that the valve stem moves between open and closed positions and would engage the valve stem to prevent flow out of the container and would disengage from the valve stem to allow flow through the valve stem.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,897 Page 4

Art Unit: 3752

## Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4, 6 and 8-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new grounds of rejection.

### Conclusion

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/691,897

Art Unit: 3752

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven J. Ganey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4899.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday from 8:00

AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Dave Scherbel, can be reached on (571) 272-4919. The fax phone number for this

Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

sjg

1/24/05

STEVEN J. GANEY PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 5

1/24/05